

Worksheet 3.4 The Adult Trait Hope Scale (Snyder et al., 1991)

Directions: Read each item carefully. Using the scale shown below, please circle the number next to each item that best describes YOU.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Definitely False	Mostly False	Somewhat False	Slightly False	Slightly True	Somewhat True	Mostly True	Definitely True

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1. I can think of many ways to get out of a jam
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 2. I energetically pursue my goals
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 3. I feel tired most of the time
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 4. There are lots of ways around any problem
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5. I am easily downed in an argument
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 6. I can think of many ways to get the things in life that
 are most important to me
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 7. I worry about my health
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8. Even when others get discouraged, I know I can
 find a way to solve the problem
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9. My past experiences have prepared me for my future
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10. I've been pretty successful in life
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 11. I usually find myself worrying about something
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 12. I meet the goals that I set for myself

Scoring information

Pathways subscale score: Add items 1, 4, 6, and 8. Scores on this subscale can range from 4 to 32, with higher scores indicating higher levels of pathways thinking.

Agency subscale score: Add items 2, 9, 10, and 12. Scores on this subscale can range from 4 to 32, with higher scores indicating higher levels of agency thinking.

Total hope score: Add the pathways and Agency subscales together. Scores can range from 8 to 64, with higher scores representing higher hope levels.

*Copyright © 1991 by the American Psychological Association. Adapted with permission. The official citation that should be used in referencing this material is Snyder, C. R., Harris, C., Anderson, J. R., Holleran, S. A., Irving, L. M., Sigmon, S. T., Yoshinobu, L. R., Gibb, J., Langelle, C., & Harney, P. (1991). The will and the ways: Development of an individual-differences measure of hope. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60, 570-585.*