Environmental Biotechnology
SUMMARY

Environmental biotechnology has opened up new avenues for the identification and study of previously undiscovered life forms, such as viruses, bacteria, and other, more obscure genetic elements. These life forms and genetic elements may contain genes for novel proteins of metabolisms that could be useful in many areas of science.

Whole genomes from an environmental sample are studied using metagenomics. The genomes from all species present within a microscopic community are examined simultaneously. Many of the techniques used in metagenomics correspond to those already discussed in previous chapters, including PCR, RT-PCR, DNA sequencing, and microarray analysis. Identification of new sources of antibiotics, enzymes that degrade pollutants, and those that can synthesize new products is possible with metagenomics.

The DNA present in environmental samples must be enriched before it can be analyzed. In SIP, samples are mixed with stable isotopes with the goal that some become incorporated into the DNA of metabolically active cells present in the sample. The DNA containing the isotope is then separated from other, non-labeled DNA and cloned into vectors to construct metagenomic libraries. In BrdU enrichment, the compound 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU) is added to environmental samples, which can be incorporated directly into DNA or RNA of actively growing cells. A variation on SIP, called RNA-SIP, uses the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) labeled with an isotope to isolate RNA present in the environmental sample. This is advantageous over the other methods because it is not specific to metabolically active organisms and can also indicate the presence of dormant microbes. Two different environmental samples can be compared using suppressive subtractive hybridization (SSH) by isolating total DNA from each sample. Each DNA sample is attached to separate linkers, denatured, and then rehybridized. Sequences that are similar between the two samples will reanneal, leaving only the unique sequences to anneal back upon themselves, which would then be further analyzed for novel genes.

Traditionally, organisms were identified from the environment by sequencing their 16S rRNA sequences, which could be done without first culturing the organisms. The downside to this method is that it does not provide any information about the genetics or metabolism of the new organism. Other sequence-dependent techniques, such as the generation of metagenomic libraries, help elucidate the entire genomes of novel organisms present in environmental samples. Entire genomes from many different microbes are fragmented and cloned into vectors and then propagated in E. coli. In some cases, total sample mRNA is extracted and converted to cDNA, which is then cloned into the vectors. In PCR, primers complementary to the genes of previously known, pollutant-degrading enzymes help to identify related proteins in a metagenomic library. Examining regions next to known genes can also identify novel proteins. Microarrays provide a global approach to identify different types of organisms in an environmental sample. The overall goal of the preceding techniques is to obtain novel genes, some of which might encode proteins capable of degrading environmental pollutants.

In addition to sequence-based approaches, functional and activity-based evaluation of metagenomic libraries can also yield proteins with novel properties. Expression vectors containing appropriate features for expression allow the properties of the protein products of genes to be further analyzed. Genes in metagenomic libraries could also be linked to reporter genes, such as GFP. It is important to keep in mind the limitations of host cells when expressing foreign proteins. Some overexpressed proteins may be toxic to the surrogate system or may be sequestered within inclusion bodies.

Metagenomics has provided researchers with a means to study organisms that are symbionts with a host. Culturing these microbes outside their host is often difficult and sometimes
impossible. Examining the genomes of the symbionts on a global scale has helped identify new properties of the symbionts, such as the presence of flagella in the bacterial symbionts of deep-sea tube worms.

Natural attenuation of pollutants through the process of bioremediation has made great advances due to metagenomics. Naturally occurring microbes often have very diverse pathways, which contain novel enzymes. These microbes can be found in diverse habitats as well. The uses for pollutant-degrading microbes are immense. Oil spills, nuclear and chemical waste spills, and other types of pollutants could be broken down into harmless by-products. Sometimes one organism completely eliminates the pollutant. In other instances, a couple of microorganisms might need to be present to complete the job. Occasionally, the microbes plus their sources of energy might need to be applied to a polluted area together.

A majority of the world’s energy production is from fossil fuels, and this use is not expected to decline. On the contrary, demand for energy is expected to increase. These levels are not sustainable, however, and will result in the depletion of nonrenewable resources. As a result, biofuels and other types of biologically derived energy resources are being studied. They include ethanol from corn or sugarcane and also biodiesel from soybeans and oil palm. Ethanol is used as an additive to gasoline to improve emissions. The production of biodiesel replaces regular diesel fuel and is not an additive. Using algae to create biofuels is less costly and does not use a food source for the reactions. Microalgae are small photosynthetic creatures, and macroalgae are large multicellular, plant-like organisms (seaweeds). Many macroalgae have large sugar contents and could be fermented to ethanol. Others have a large lipid content that could be converted to biodiesel.

Algae are also capable of producing biogases, including biohydrogen and biomethane. High-carbohydrate macroalgae can be converted to biomethane during fermentation. Cyanobacteria (photosynthetic bacteria) can produce hydrogen from sewage waste and organic molecules by fermentation.

In microbial fuel cells, bacteria catalyze specific reactions at the anode or cathode to produce an electrical current. Electrode-reducing bacteria oxidize substrates, and electrons are transferred to an anode. Electrode-oxidizing bacteria strip electrons from the cathode to reduce other substances. Oxygen availability influences the capabilities of the organisms to perform these reactions and the types of compounds that must be present at either the cathode or anode, depending on the microorganisms. Many of the substrates can be obtained from wastewater, which aids in bioremediation. Although microbial fuel cells are not yet optimized, there is great potential for this technology.
Hundreds and thousands of strains of bacteria live within and on the human body. The microbiome is different among people and the microorganisms present at specific sites on the same body. Human microbiome projects have characterized “healthy” microbiomes and identified disease variants as well, such as those for Crohn’s disease, bacterial vaginosis, obesity, and diabetes. Small molecules that easily diffuse help mediate host-microbe interactions. Symbiosis, mediated by these small signaling molecules, has been observed between bacteria and multiple hosts, including marine invertebrates, nematodes, insects, and plants. Other studies have shown a correlation between bacteria small molecule signaling and human diseases such as colon cancer, as well as immunosuppression of gut mucosal immune response.

The authors of this study investigated the human microbiome with regards to small molecule signaling and biosynthetic capacity. They performed systematic analysis of the microbiome in an attempt to identify biosynthetic gene clusters.

Investigating the genomes of the human microbiota usually yields large amounts of data. Why is this aspect of the human microbiome important? How many biosynthetic gene clusters were revealed in this study? These gene clusters were involved in which cellular processes?

Examining the metagenomics of the human microbiome has the potential to identify natural products that may be of use. In this study, more than 14,000 biosynthetic gene clusters were predicted, ranging from the small molecule classes of polysaccharides, nonribosomal peptides, polyketides, peptides, siderophores, and hybrids. Of these predicted clusters, the authors used shotgun metagenomic sequencing data from the Human Microbiome Project and calculated that 3118 biosynthetic gene clusters are present in healthy microbiomes.

Does the body site location affect the type of biosynthetic gene clusters that were identified? If so, how? Which areas were richest in biosynthetic gene clusters? Which class of biosynthetic gene cluster was abundant in the analyses?

Yes. The biosynthetic gene cluster types changed with regards to the origin of the sample. The oral and gut microbiomes represent the richest biosynthetic gene clusters, probably due to the overabundance of microbes in these locations. Skin, airway, and urogenital tract samples represent fewer biosynthetic gene clusters, likely because of the lower diversity of microbes in these locations. The most abundant biosynthetic gene cluster class in the gut and oral cavity is for saccharide biosynthesis. RiPPs (ribosomally encoded and post-translationally modified peptides) were moderate and represented in every location. Other gene clusters, including NRPS and PKS, were moderately present but more depleted than the other classes of biosynthetic gene clusters.

What microbes are represented in these analyses? Are these taxa different from similar experiments using nonhuman environmental isolates instead? If so, how is this significant in terms of research?

Most biosynthetic gene clusters in the human microbiome are harbored by Bacteroides, Parabacteroides, Corynebacterium, Rothia, and Ruminococcus. These are not the same taxa from nonhuman environmental isolates. High numbers of biosynthetic gene clusters from nonhuman environmental isolates generally include Streptomyces, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Burkholderia, and Myxococcus. Even the typical genera from the human microbiota (Escherichia, Lactobacillus, Haemophilus, and Enterococcus) harbor few biosynthetic gene clusters. The biosynthetic gene clusters from the human microbiome are an underserved reservoir for potential small-molecular products.

The authors identified four examples of widely distributed biosynthetic gene clusters that met a specific set of criteria. What were the criteria used to identify these examples? Briefly describe the distribution, location, and class of these four widely distributed biosynthetic gene clusters (BGC).

These four examples were identified based on one of three criteria: predominance in a body site, resemblance to a known biosynthetic gene cluster, or cosmopolitan distribution of the cluster in body site and/or class. The first BGC is widely distributed in gram-positive bacteria within the gut microbiome. Members within this BGC might bind an aliphatic amino acid or other small molecule substrates. Saccharide BGCs are the most abundant family of clusters and are distributed in all five body locations, although they are particularly predominant in the gut with phylum Bacteroidetes harboring the largest numbers of clusters. In the oral cavity, complex polyketides with similarity to proteins from marine isolates having antibacterial and antitumor activity were found in members of Actinobacteria. RiPPs were widely distributed and variable within the human microbiota. Three subclasses exist for RiPPs: lantibiotics in the Firmicutes and Actinobacteria within all sites, thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins in the oral cavity, and thiopeptides, which have potent antibacterial activity against gram-positive bacteria.

The authors state that no thiopeptide biosynthetic gene cluster or small-molecule product has ever been characterized from the human microbiome. Which thiopeptides were characterized in this paper? What is the distribution, and what are some of the properties of these thiopeptides?

The authors discovered a total of 13 thiopeptide gene clusters from their methodology. One of these was found in the human gut samples, and seven were found in the oral cavity sample. Based on flanking sequence analysis, the gut thiopeptide gene cluster likely belongs to a Eubacterium species. Two of the thiopeptide gene clusters in the oral cavity belong to Actinomyces. The other five in the oral cavity belong to Streptococcus. Transposons and phage integrases were present within...
Case Study  A Systematic Analysis of Biosynthetic Gene Clusters in the Human Microbiome Reveals a Common Family of Antibiotics—cont’d

the clusters. One cluster was even present on a plasmid. These properties suggest that the thiopeptide gene clusters are mobile and can undergo horizontal gene transfer.

Of the 13 thiopeptide BGCs identified in this study, only 3 of these BGCs were similar to known thiopeptides. Furthermore, the researchers chose only one to characterize. What were the reasons for selecting the thiopeptide bgc66 for characterization? What are some of the characteristics of bgc66?

The thiopeptide bgc66 is similar to thiocillin of Bacillus cereus. Furthermore, bgc66 is harbored by the vaginal isolate, Lactobacillus gasseri, which is easier to genetically manipulate than the organisms harboring the other thiopeptide gene clusters. These characteristics contributed to the reason the researchers chose bgc66. The researchers determined that the bgc66 product was likely post-translationally modified. Additionally, they determined the structure of the bgc66 product, which they named lactocillin. Interestingly, the structure of lactocillin contains an indolyl-S-cysteine residue that is inserted by an enzyme that is not encoded within the thiopeptide gene cluster. Also, lactocillin has a rare, free carboxylic acid at the C terminus and lacks any oxygen-requiring post-translational modifications, probably because this organism lives in an anaerobic environment.

Genes within the thiopeptide gene clusters do not encode the enzyme that inserts the indolyl-S-cysteine into lactocillin. Where are the genes for this enzyme located?

The lcl gene cluster contains the genes that encode the enzyme responsible for adding indolyl-S-cysteine to lactocillin. This gene cluster has limited distribution in the human microbiota, including in the vaginal microbiota where Lactobacillus gasseri is present. The authors broadened their search to all available metatranscriptomic data sets and found that lcl gene clusters were present in oral samples, particularly within supragingival plaque samples, and potentially expressed in humans.

The authors identified biosynthetic gene clusters within the human microbiota that may be involved in small-molecule signaling. As a consequence of their search for BGCs, the authors identified a thiopeptide gene cluster that produces a potent antibiotic against common pathogens of the vagina. The results of this study will serve as a reservoir for future research into the host-microbe and microbe-microbe interactions via small molecules.
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SUMMARY

In complex biological systems, small molecules often mediate microbe-microbe and microbe-host interactions. Using a systematic approach, we identified 3,118 small-molecule biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) in genomes of human-associated bacteria and studied their representation in 752 metagenomic samples from the NIH Human Microbiome Project. Remarkably, we discovered that BGCs for a class of antibiotics in clinical trials, thiopeptides, are widely distributed in genomes and metagenomes of the human microbiota. We purified and solved the structure of a thiopeptide antibiotic, lactocillin, from a prominent member of the vaginal microbiota. We demonstrate that lactocillin has potent antibacterial activity against a range of Gram-positive vaginal pathogens, and we show that lactocillin and other thiopeptide BGCs are expressed in vivo by analyzing human metatranscriptomic sequencing data. Our findings illustrate the widespread distribution of small-molecule-encoding BGCs in the human microbiome, and they demonstrate the bacterial production of drug-like molecules in humans.

INTRODUCTION

The human microbiome is composed of hundreds of bacterial species and thousands of strains, and its composition differs from person to person and between different body sites of the same individual (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012b). During the last decade, tremendous efforts have been made to sequence isolates of the human microbiota and metagenomic samples from various body sites (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012a, 2012b; Nelson et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2010). These studies have yielded a basic understanding of the “healthy” human microbiome and have correlated deviations from the healthy state to maladies such as obesity, diabetes, bacterial vaginosis, and Crohn’s disease (Gajer et al., 2012; Gevers et al., 2012, 2014; Ravel et al., 2011; Turnbaugh et al., 2009). Several recent studies have begun to examine the human microbiome from a functional point of view, where direct molecular interactions between host and microbe are revealed (An et al., 2014; Hsiao et al., 2013; Mazmanian et al., 2005; Mazmanian et al., 2008; Nougayrède et al., 2006; Wieland Brown et al., 2013; Wyatt et al., 2010).

Diffusible and cell-associated small molecules often mediate host-microbe interactions in complex environments. Examples of small-molecule-mediated interactions have been revealed in symbioses between bacteria and insects (Oh et al., 2009), marine invertebrates (Kwan et al., 2012), nematodes (McInerney et al., 1991), and plants (Long, 2001). In addition, several studies have explored the role of small molecules in interactions between microbiota and the mammalian host. For example, Staphylococcus aureus pyrazinones were shown to be inducers of bacterial virulence (Wyatt et al., 2010), the Escherichia coli metabolite colibactin was found to contribute to colon cancer (Nougayrède et al., 2006), and polysaccharide A from Bacteroides fragilis has been shown to suppress the gut mucosal immune response (Mazmanian et al., 2005, 2008). Recently, we and others showed that Bacteroides fragilis produces the canonical CD1d ligand α-galactosylceramide, revealing a specific mechanism by which the gut microbiota are capable of modulating host natural killer T cell function (An et al., 2014; Wieland Brown et al., 2013). Another recent study correlated the prevalence of hepatic cancer in mice to deoxycholic acid, a secondary bile acid produced by certain members of the gut microbiota (Yoshimoto et al., 2013). A recent metatranscriptomic study showed the expression of genes matching the clusters of orthologous groups (COG) category “secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism,” which is consistent with the possibility of small-molecule production but could also indicate
the expression of catabolic and/or transport genes unrelated to biosynthesis (Leimena et al., 2013). These examples raise the question of whether there exists a much larger set of bacterially produced molecules that mediate microbiota-host interactions. Due to the complexity of the human microbiome and its vast coding potential, a more systematic approach is needed to explore small-molecule-mediated interactions between humans and their microbiota.

In this study, we explored the biosynthetic capacity of the human microbiome by performing the first systematic identification and analysis of its biosynthetic gene clusters. Unlike previous approaches that have focused on one compound or bacterial strain at a time, our approach allows the global analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) that encode small molecules in thousands of isolates of the human microbiota. By measuring the representation of these BGCs in human metagenomic samples, we can assess the small-molecule coding capacity of a community, generating powerful hypotheses about which molecules might mediate microbe-host and microbe-microbe interactions in a particular community and how their prevalence differs among individuals. To illustrate the utility of this approach, we used a combination of chemistry, genetics, metagenomics, and metatranscriptomics to study a family of gene clusters that is widely distributed in the human microbiome, including the characterization of its small-molecule product and the analysis of its prevalence among body sites and individuals.

RESULTS

A Systematic Approach Identifies 3,118 Biosynthetic Gene Clusters that Are Present in Human Metagenomic Samples

The identification of biosynthetic gene clusters in bacterial genome sequences has become a powerful tool for natural product discovery. We began by using an algorithm we recently developed, ClusterFinder, to systematically analyze 2,430 reference genomes of the human microbiota from a range of body sites (Cimermancic et al., 2014). ClusterFinder detected >14,000 biosynthetic gene clusters (average of 6 gene clusters per genome) for a broad range of small-molecule classes, including saccharides, nonribosomal peptides (NRPs), polyketides (PKs), ribosomally encoded and posttranslationally modified peptides (RiPPs), NRPs-independent siderophores, and hybrids thereof (Figure 1 and Figure S1 available online).

Reasoning that the small-molecule products of BGCs that are widely distributed in the human population are most likely to mediate conserved microbe-host and microbe-microbe interactions, our next goal was to identify the subset of BGCs that is commonly found in healthy individuals. To achieve this goal, we examined the representation of these gene clusters in shotgun metagenomic sequencing data generated by the Human Microbiome Project (HMP, 752 samples collected from five main body sites of healthy subjects) (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012b). Briefly, we used mblastx (Davis et al., 2013) to detect metagenomic reads that match the >14,000 predicted BGCs (see Experimental Procedures for a more detailed description of how gene clusters were quantified in metagenomic samples). Using this method, we calculated that a subset of 3,118 biosynthetic gene clusters (22%) are present in the microbiomes of healthy individuals; all subsequent analyses focused on this subset (Figures 1 and S1 and Data S1).

An Overview of BGC Types and Distribution in the Human Microbiome

The identification of >3,000 biosynthetic gene clusters in the human microbiome was remarkable, given that almost nothing is known about their small-molecule products or biological activities. We next examined the distribution and abundance of each of these BGCs in the 752 HMP samples.

The gut and oral cavity are by far the richest in BGCs, reflecting their increased microbial abundance relative to the other body sites (see also Figure S2A, see Experimental Procedures) (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012b). A typical gut harbor contains 599 clusters, whereas a typical oral cavity harbors 1,061 clusters; notably, the SD of each of these values is large (152 and 143, respectively), pointing to a far greater degree of gene cluster diversity in some individuals than others. Typical skin, airway, and urogenital tract samples harbor many fewer BGCs on average (101, 31, and 41, respectively, see also Table S1).
The smaller number of BGCs in the skin, airway, and urogenital tract communities could be a result of the lower microbial diversity in these communities in comparison to the oral and gut microbiota (Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012b). In addition, fewer samples were sequenced from skin, airways, and urogenital tract communities (27, 65, and 94 samples, respectively) than from oral and gut communities (415 and 147, respectively), possibly affecting the total number of BGCs that were found to be present in these body sites.

The gene cluster classes in the human microbiota differ in important ways from those in non-human-associated bacteria (see also Figure S2B). Even in light of the predominance of saccharides among environmental bacteria (Cimermancic et al., 2014), saccharides are significantly enriched in the microbiota (see also Figure S2B), making them by far the most abundant BGC class in the gut and oral cavity (average of 443 and 662 saccharide clusters per sample, respectively). RiPPs, which are modestly enriched, are broadly distributed in every body site. Although nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) and polyketide synthase (PKS) gene clusters are significantly depleted in the microbiota, they are still present at moderate levels (average of 57 PKS and 19 NRPS clusters in a gut sample and 129 PKS and 46 NRPS clusters in an oral cavity sample; see also Table S1), and notable exemplars are widely distributed in the healthy human population (see below). Most of the BGCs from nonhuman environmental isolates are harbored by members of the abundant genera Streptomyces, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Burkholderia, and Mycobacterium (Cimermancic et al., 2014); in contrast, most human-associated BGCs are harbored by members of the abundant human-associated genera Bacteroides, Parabacteroides, Corynebacterium, Rothia, and Ruminooccus (up to seven BGCs per genome) pointing to undermined efforts (Figure 1 and see also Figure S1). Many of these genomes harbor large BGCs despite being only 2–3 Mb, suggesting an important ecological role for their small-molecule products.

A Large Family of Nonribosomal Peptide BGCs Is Widely Distributed in the Gut Community

The first BGC family we identified is a set of NRPS clusters that are found exclusively in gut isolates (hereafter bgc26–bgc54). Surprisingly, members of this family are harbored by species of a wide variety of Gram-positive (Clostridium, Ruminococcus, Eubacterium, Lachnospiraceae, Blautia) and Gram-negative genera (Bacteroides and Desulfovibrion). In addition to human gut isolates, this NRPS family is found in isolates from the chicken gut (Bacteroides barnesiae DSM 18169) and bovine rumen (Methanobrevibacter ruminantium M1) (Figure 3). Remarkably, 137/149 (92%) of the HMP stool samples contained at least one member of this family of NRPS clusters (see also Figure S3), whereas none or very few of the airway (0/94), oral (2/406), vaginal (2/65), and skin (1/27) metagenomic samples harbored a member of this family. The wide distribution and broad representation of this NRPS family suggests its importance for gut microbial inhabitants. Members of this BGC family fall into two main groups: the first consists of three NRPS modules, whereas the second is composed of only two (Figure 3). The predicted substrate of the penultimate adenylation domain is an aliphatic amino acid (Leu, Ile, Val, or Ala), whereas the other adenylation domains have predicted substrates that vary widely among the clusters, suggesting that the small-molecule products of these BGCs may be a family of closely related molecules.

A Family of Complex Polyketides from Oral Actinobacteria Are Widely Distributed in the Oral Cavity

The most widely distributed multimodular PKS in the oral cavity is a pair of closely related ~80 kb clusters of the trans-AT type

Figure 2. A Selected Subset of BGCs from the Human Microbiota

(A) 25 selected BGCs from the human microbiota, spanning each of the body sites (gut, vagina, airways, and skin, and oral cavity), BGC types (PKS, NRPS, RiPPs, terpenes, N1 siderophores, and saccharides), and prevalent bacterial phyla (Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria). The label of each gene cluster indicates its source organism, body site of origin, and the percentage of HMP samples harboring this cluster in its body site of origin. All but two of these BGCs are present in more than 10% of the samples from their body site of origin, indicating that they are widely distributed among healthy subjects. (B) Heat map showing the representation of BGCs from (A) in a subset of 60 selected HMP metagenomic samples from four body sites. The color of the cells in the heat map represents an abundance score ranging from 10 (blue) to 1,000 (red) (key shown to the bottom, see Extended Experimental Procedures for calculation of abundance scores and see also Figure S2 and Table S1).
Bacteroidetes Saccharides Are Predominant and Variable in the Gut
Saccharide BGCs are the most abundant family in each of the five body sites and are particularly predominant in the gut (74% of all BGCs in a typical HMP stool sample are saccharides; see also Table S1). The phylum Bacteroidetes harbors the largest number of saccharide BGCs (Cimermancic et al., 2014), two of which have been structurally and functionally characterized (polysaccharide A and B; Baumann et al., 1992; Mazmanian et al., 2005, 2008; Tzianabos et al., 1993). Two observations were notable. First, among the most common saccharide BGCs were capsular polysaccharide loci from B. vulgatus and B. ovatus (see also Figure S4), which differ considerably from the better-known examples in B. fragilis, suggesting that structurally distinct capsular polysaccharides might be remarkably common in the healthy human population. Second, ten HMP stool samples that show similar taxonomic composition by 16S rDNA analysis or metagenomic classification tools does not reveal the inherent diversity of BGCs in these communities.

Ribosomally Synthesized, Posttranslationally Modified Peptides Are among the Most Widely Distributed and Variable BGCs Encoded by the Human Microbiota
BGCs encoding modified RiPPs are widely distributed among the human microbiota. Three subclasses of RiPPs were particularly notable: lantibiotics, which were numerous, variable, and broadly distributed throughout the Firmicutes and Actinobacteria from all of the major body sites; thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs), which were prevalent in the oral cavity; and thiopptides. Although a small set of lantibiotics and TOMMs have been isolated from members of the human microbiota (Chikindas et al., 1995; Gonzalez et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2008), most are produced by pathogens or rare commensals. Thiopptides are highly modified RiPPs that have potent antibacterial activity against Gram-positive species. A semisynthetic member of this class, LFFS71 (Novartis), is currently in phase II clinical trials for treating Clostridium difficile infection (LaMarche et al., 2012). Although a thiopette BGC was previously predicted by our group and others in the genome of the skin isolate Propionibacterium acnes (Brzuszkiewicz et al., 2011; Wieland Brown et al., 2009), no thiopette BGC or small-molecule product from the human microbiome has ever been characterized experimentally. Remarkably, in the analysis reported here, we discovered thiopette-like BGCs in isolates from every human body site (Lactobacillus gasseri, urogenital; Propionibacterium acnes, skin; Streptococcus downei and S. sobrinus, oral; and Enterococcus faecalis, gut). Additionally, we discovered a thiopette gene cluster in the porcine gut isolate Lactobacillus johnsonii PF01, indicating that thiopette BGCs are found in the microbiota of animals other than humans (Figure 5A).

We next turned to the question of whether we could discover additional thiopette gene clusters in metagenomic data that were not present in any sequenced bacterial genome. To address this question, we mined HMP metagenomic assemblies for the presence of additional thiopette gene clusters; remarkably, we discovered eight additional thiopette BGCs (7 complete, 1 partial) using this strategy, increasing the number of thiopette BGCs identified here to 13 (bgc56-bgc68) (Figure 5A) (see Experimental Procedures). Of these, we found one in human gut metagenomic samples (bgc61) and seven in human oral metagenomic data sets (bgc58, bgc59, bgc62, bgc64, bgc65, bgc67, and bgc68).

An analysis of the genes flanking these clusters suggests that bgc61 resides in a prominent member of the human gut microbiome (Eubacterium rectale), whereas two of the oral metagenomic thiopette gene clusters are found in Actinomyces and five others are harbored by Streptococcus (see also Table S2). Transposases and phage integrases are unusually prevalent among the thiopette BGCs (70%), and in at least one case (bgc66), we could show bioinformatically and experimentally that the cluster exists on a plasmid, suggesting a potential for mobility (see below, and note that the thiopette BGC from the porcine gut isolate Lactobacillus johnsonii PF01 [Lee et al., 2011] also exists on a plasmid). Four of the 13 thiopette BGCs are present in >20% of the HMP samples at one of the body sites, and 155/406 HMP oral samples (38%) harbor at least one thiopette BGC (Figure 5C and Table S2). For example, bgc61 is present in 11% of gut samples and bgc65 is present in 34% of oral samples, indicating that there exist widely distributed biosynthetic gene clusters in the healthy human population that are not found in the reference genome database.

In order to gain more insight into the evolution of these human-associated thiopette BGCs, we performed a detailed bioinformatic analysis of their biosynthetic genes and precursor peptides. Genomic and metagenomic thiopette BGCs fall into six subfamilies in which members of each subfamily harbor similar precursor peptides (Figures 5A and 5B). Notably, the BGC precursor peptides and enzymes that posttranslationally modify them cluster largely according to their body site of origin rather than the phylogeny of their host (Figures 5A, 5B, and 5S). Moreover, the distribution of the oral thiopette clusters across
Figure 3. An Abundant Family of NRPS BGCs Is Found Exclusively in Gut Isolates and Stool Metagenomes

Left, a phylogenetic tree (Maximum Parsimony, MEGAS) based on the main NRPS gene of 28 clusters from human bacterial gut isolates, two from bovine rumen archaeal isolates, and four from environmental isolates (see Experimental Procedures). The numbers next to the branches represent the percentage of replicate trees in which this topology was reached in a bootstrap test of 1,000 replicates. Middle, schematic of each BGC (see also Figure S3 for a full heat map showing the prevalence and abundance of each member of this NRPS family in HMP stool samples). Right, domain organization of the NRPS genes of each cluster (A, adenylation domain; C, condensation domain; T, thiolation domain; R, terminal reductase domain).
the sample set indicates that most of the samples harbor only one thiopeptide BGC (Figure 5C). Taken together, these results are consistent with the possibility that thiopeptide gene clusters are mobile and that they undergo horizontal transfer among the microbiota within a body site (Smillie et al., 2011).

Discovery and Characterization of a Thiopeptide from the Human Microbiome

We next set out to purify and solve the structure of a thiopeptide from the human microbiome. Of the 13 thiopeptide BGCs described here, three resembled BGCs for known thiopeptides (bgc56 is homologous to the berninamycin BGC from Streptomyces bernensis, and one of its two putative precursor peptides is identical to that of berninamycin [Malcolmson et al., 2013]). Likewise, the genetic organization and precursor peptide sequences of bgc68 and bgc66 are similar to those of the TP-1161 (Nocardiopsis sp. TFS65-07) and thiocillin (Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579) BGCs, respectively (Engelhardt et al., 2010; Liao et al., 2009; Wieland Brown et al., 2009). Because there is more precedent for the genetic manipulation of Lactobacillus than Propionibacterium and bgc68 does not exist in any of the reference strains, we selected bgc66 for chemical and biological characterization.

The reference genome in which bgc66 resides is a vaginal isolate of Lactobacillus gasseri from a subject in Texas (L. gasseri JV-V03, HMP DACC); L. gasseri is one of the four Lactobacillus species that commonly dominate the vaginal community (Ravel et al., 2011). In order to determine whether the small-molecule product of bgc66 is produced under conditions of laboratory culture, we constructed an insertional mutant of the gene harboring a YcaO domain (locus tag: HMPREF0514_11730) by single crossover mutagenesis (see Experimental Procedures). By comparing the HPLC and LC-MS profiles of organic extracts of the wild-type and bgc66::HMPREF0514_11730 mutant strains, we identified a single peak that is present in the wild-type but missing in the insertional mutant (Figure 6A). This peak had an absorption spectrum consistent with a compound that harbors a trithiazoylpyridine core (Figure 6A and see also Figure S6). High-resolution mass spectrometry enabled us to calculate the empirical formula C51H45N13O10S7 (observed [M+H]+ at m/z 944.3141).
To obtain multimilligram quantities of the bgc66 product for structural characterization, we performed a 50 L cultivation of L. gasseri JV-V03. Our initial attempts to perform NMR experiments on the isolated product failed due to its low solubility in NMR solvents and apparent instability. Hypothesizing that the bgc66 product harbored a free carboxylic acid that was partly responsible for its insolubility and instability, we treated the product extracted from a second 60 L cultivation with TMS-diazomethane to convert any free carboxylic acids to methyl esters. The resulting product had a mass consistent with the addition of a single methyl group and exhibited greatly improved solubility and stability. After extensive purification by HPLC, the purified product (0.5 mg) was characterized using a series of 1D and 2D NMR experiments, high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS and MS^n), and isotope labeling experiments. Collectively, these data enabled us to determine the structure of the bgc66 product, to which we assign the name lactocillin (see Experimental Procedures, Figures 6B and S6, and Data S2).

Lactocillin harbors a canonical 26-membered thiopeptide scaffold, with a trithiazolopyridine core and a macrocycle with four cysteine-derived heterocycles and a single dehydrobutyryl residue. Three structural features set it apart from thiocillin. (1) The presence of an indolyl-S-cysteine residue at position 8, a feature that is structurally and regiochemically reminiscent of the 3-methylindolyl and quinaldic acid moieties of nosiheptide and thiopeptins, respectively (Just-Baringo et al., 2014; Kelly et al., 2009; Liao et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2009). Interestingly, the enzymes predicted to install the indolyl-S-cysteine in lactocillin (lclJ, an adenylation domain, and lclK, an alpha-beta hydrolase) are unrelated to any genes in the nosiheptide or thiostrepton BGCs, indicating that it may have arisen by convergent evolution. Lactocillin also (2) harbors a free carboxylic acid at the C terminus, a rare feature among thiopeptides (Just-Baringo et al., 2014) and (3) lacks any oxygen-requiring posttranslational modifications, reflecting the anaerobic conditions under which L. gasseri thrives in the vaginal community (Figure 6B).

Next, we set out to determine whether lactocillin has a spectrum of activity similar to other thiopeptides, which are potent antibiotics against Gram-positive, but not Gram-negative, bacteria. To answer this question, we tested purified lactocillin against a panel of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and yeasts (see also Data S1). Surprisingly, we found that lactocillin—but bgc65—was covered by oral metatranscriptomic reads in multiple samples (in total, reads matching lcl and bgc65 were found in 3/38 and 11/38 of the supragingival plaque metatranscriptomic samples, respectively) (see Experimental Procedures, Figure 6D and see also Figure S7). In addition, the rest of genes on the plasmid in which the lcl cluster resides were also present in oral metatranscriptomic samples, further emphasizing its mobility (see also Figure S7). These results show that thiopeptide gene clusters are actively transcribed in human samples, suggesting a potential role in mediating microbe-microbe interactions in the communities in which they are expressed.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we systematically identify BGCs in the genomes of human isolates, examine their representation in metagenomic and metatranscriptomic samples, identify widely distributed BGC families, and characterize the structure and activity of their small-molecule products. Our approach introduces a method for identifying and prioritizing BGCs for experimental characterization and can easily be generalized to other BGC families. The resulting database of BGCs that were detected in human samples (see also Data S1) will serve as a resource for future studies that aim to discover small-molecule-mediated interactions in the human microbiota.

Our approach has three important limitations. First, we rely on sequenced isolates of the human microbiota to predict the initial set of BGCs that we later use to recruit reads from whole-genome shotgun metagenomic samples. Although the ~2,400 bacterial genomes that we analyze here span all of the human-associated bacterial phyla and represent each major body site, they are biased toward gut and oral residents and easily cultivated species, for example.

Second, in examining the representation of BGCs in human metagenomic samples, we relied on the HMP whole-genome shotgun metagenomic data sets, which were sampled from fewer body sites and subsites than the larger 16S data set. Fewer samples were sequenced from skin than other body sites (27 versus at least 60 for all other body sites), and these samples were all obtained from one skin subsite (retroauricular crease). We anticipate that the number of skin-associated BGCs will

1224.15184, calculated [M+H]^+ 1224.15354, 8ppm = 1.3. This mass and empirical formula deviated from the computationally predicted mass and empirical formula for the bgc66 product (1058.0667 and C_{42}H_{34}N_{12}O_{6}S_{7}, respectively), suggesting that the bgc66 product harbored at least one unknown posttranslational modification.

**Metatranscriptomic Data Analysis Reveals that the lcl Cluster Is Expressed in Humans**

We next examined the distribution pattern of the lcl gene cluster in the human microbiota. In contrast to other human-associated thiopeptide BGCs (Figures 5A and 5C), the lcl gene cluster appears to have a limited distribution in genomic and metagenomic data sets: L. gasseri JV-V03 is the only one of the ten sequenced L. gasseri genomes that harbors the cluster (see Extended Experimental Procedures), and none of the six vaginal metagenomic samples in which L. gasseri is the dominant strain contain reads that match the lcl cluster. However, building on the finding that lcl resides on a plasmid (Figure 6C) and that some BGCs are present in more than one body site (see also Figure S2), we broadened our search to publicly available metatranscriptomic data sets from the oral cavity (see Experimental Procedures). To our surprise, we found that two thiopeptide gene clusters—lcl and bgc65—were covered by oral metatranscriptomic reads in multiple samples (in total, reads matching lcl and bgc65 were found in 3/38 and 11/38 of the supragingival plaque metatranscriptomic samples, respectively) (see Experimental Procedures, Figure 6D and see also Figure S7). These results show that thiopeptide gene clusters are actively transcribed in human samples, suggesting a potential role in mediating microbe-microbe interactions in the communities in which they are expressed.
rise as the number and diversity of whole-genome shotgun metagenomic data sets increases.

Third, we show that metatranscriptomic reads matching the \textit{lcl} cluster exist in RNA-seq data from the human oral cavity, suggesting that the \textit{lcl} cluster is expressed in humans. To prove conclusively that lactocillin is produced in humans, it would need to be directly detected in human vaginal or oral samples using sensitive analytical techniques. The activity of lactocillin in its native context will need to be further explored in colonization experiments in which a lactocillin producer is compared to an isogenic strain deficient in lactocillin production.

Landmark studies of gene clusters encoding catabolic pathways from the microbiota have shown the power of connecting genes to microbiome-related functions. Sonnenburg et al. (2010) and Larsbrink et al. (2014) have dissected the function of gene clusters from \textit{Bacteroides} that catabolize fructans and xyloglucans, respectively. Both studies lend important insights into the role of specialized catabolic modules in competition for nutrient niches in the gut community, and they demonstrate that a mechanistic understanding of gene cluster function yields predictive power into how members of the gut community will respond to a change in diet.

Figure 5. Thiopeptide BGCs Are Widespread in Isolates and Metagenomes of All Main Human Body Sites
(A) Five thiopeptide BGCs from human isolates, eight thiopeptide BGCs from human metagenomes, and one thiopeptide BGC from a pig isolate are shown. The label of each BGC indicates its body site of origin.

(B) Precursor peptides corresponding to the thiopeptide BGCs shown in (A). Note that the precursor peptides fall into six subgroups of nearly identical sequences. The structural portion of the precursor peptide is shown in red (see also Figure S5 for a phylogenetic analysis of thiopeptide BGCs).

(C) A heat map showing the representation and abundance of six oral thiopeptide BGCs in HMP metagenomic oral samples (see also Table S2 for the quantification of all thiopeptide BGCs in all HMP samples). Note that, although each BGC shown in the heat map is well represented in the oral cavity, most samples harbor only one thiopeptide BGC.
Table 1. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Lactocillin against Vaginal and Oral Pathogens and Commensals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Lactocillin MIC (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>pathogen</td>
<td>42⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>commensal</td>
<td>NA⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>pathogen</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus jensenii</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>vaginal</td>
<td>NA⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus gasseri</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>commensal</td>
<td>NA⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus crispatus</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>commensal</td>
<td>NA⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynebacterium aurumucosum</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>vaginal</td>
<td>42⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finegoldia magna</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>vaginal pathogen</td>
<td>NA⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardnerella vaginalis</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>vaginal</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus sanguinis</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>oral commensal</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus sobrinus</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>oral commensal/pathogen</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus mutans</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>oral commensal/pathogen</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Experimental Procedures for details. Streptococcus sanguinis and Gardnerella vaginalis were partially inhibited at 80 nM lactocillin but not completely until the next concentration tested (212 nM). Streptococcus mutans was partially inhibited at 212 nM but not completely until the next concentration tested (425 nM).⁴Lowest concentration tested was 42 nM.⁵Highest concentration tested was 425 nM.⁶Note that the strain of L. gasseri tested was SV-16A-US, which is a different strain from the lactocillin producer (JV-V03).

Identification of Thiopeptide BGCs from HMP Metagenomic Data

A database containing the amino acid sequence of 24 homologs of TclM (the enzyme responsible for pyridine ring formation in thiocillin) was constructed. This data set was then used as a query for tblastx searches against metagenomic assemblies of the 752 HMP samples. Contigs that generated hits to multiple thiopeptide genes were analyzed using antiSMASH, and results were verified manually. A thiopeptide BGC was called only when genes for all the essential posttranslational modifications were identified in addition to the precursor peptide (see also Extended Experimental Procedures).

Generation of an Insertional Mutant in L. gasseri JV-V03 and Verification of Lactocillin Production

L. gasseri JV-V03 was cultivated in an anaerobic chamber (80% N₂, 10% CO₂, and 10% H₂) in MRS broth (BD) at 37°C. A 1,000 bp fragment of lclD was PCR amplified and cloned into pKM082, a suicide vector harboring an erythromycin resistance gene. L. gasseri JV-V03 was transformed with this vector by electroporation, and transformants were selected on erythromycin and verified using PCR (see also Extended Experimental Procedures and Table S3). Cell pellets from the wild-type strain and insertional mutants were grown in 1 L MRS broth, extracted with methanol, and analyzed using HPLC and LC-MS monitoring at 350 and 220 nm.

Large-Scale Production and Derivatization of Lactocillin

A 60 L culture of L. gasseri JV-V03 was grown for 2 days at 37°C. Cell pellets were harvested and extracted with 3 L methanol. Organic extracts were dried using rotary evaporation and fractionated on a C18 Sep Pak column using a step gradient of 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% methanol in water. Lactocillin eluted in the 80% and 100% fractions, as determined by LC-MS. Semipurified lactocillin from the 80% fraction was methylated using TMS-diazomethane, and the methylated lactocillin product was purified by preparative HPLC (see also Extended Experimental Procedures).

Structural Elucidation of Lactocillin Methyl Ester

The structure of purified lactocillin methyl ester was solved using a combination of MS experiments (HRMS, HRMS/MS, and HRMS*) and 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy experiments (1H NMR, 13C NMR, 2D ROESY, and 2D ROESY). In addition, heavy isotope feeding experiments were performed to confirm the identity of the indolyl acyl group in the structure (see also Extended Experimental Procedures).

Purification and MIC Determination of Lactocillin

Lactocillin was purified by preparative HPLC from the 100% Sep Pak fraction and quantified using HPLC. The minimal inhibitory concentration of purified lactocillin against a suite of commensal and pathogenic vaginal and oral isolates was tested using a range of concentrations from 42 to 425 nM. A vehicle-alone control was used in each experiment (see also Extended Experimental Procedures).

Metatranscriptomic Analysis of Thiopeptide BGCs

A database containing the nucleotide sequences of all human-associated thiopeptide BGCs was generated. Raw Illumina reads from 38 oral metatranscriptomic samples were compared to this database using blastn, and hits with expectation values < 1e⁻⁸ were recruited to the clusters using Geneious.
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